



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/772,970	02/05/2004	Charles A. Miller	P199-US	5200
50/605 7590 05/22/2008 N. KENNETH BURRASTON KIRTON & MCCONKIE P.O. BOX 45120 SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84145-0120				
EXAMINER CHAN, EMILY Y				
ART UNIT 2829		PAPER NUMBER		
NOTIFICATION DATE 05/22/2008		DELIVERY MODE ELECTRONIC		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ecowles@kmclaw.com
kburraston@kmclaw.com
patents@formfactor.com

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/772,970

Applicant(s)

MILLER, CHARLES A.

Examiner

EMILY Y. CHAN

Art Unit

2829

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) EMILY Y. CHAN.(3) Ting-mao Chao.(2) Mr. N. Kenneth Burraston.(4) Rober Scott Hauser.

Date of Interview: 24 April 2008.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☒ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 16.

Identification of prior art discussed: None.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's attorneys explained Figs. 2A and 2B paragraph [0025] and Fig. 7, paragraph [0059] to the examiner. The attorneys and the examiner also discussed the claimed invention. Mr. hauser also explained the case 11/422573 to the examiner.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Ha Nguyen/

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required